Case 1:08-cv-00817-AKH Document	1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
ANIBAL BATISTA AND WANDA BATISTA	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE (	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master C instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an ' $\square$ '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, ANIBAL BATISTA AND WANDAGRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, comp	A BATISTA, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY plaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
I. PAR	RTIES

### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	✓ Plaintiff, ANIBAI	BATISTA (hereinafter the "	Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and
a citizen o	of New York residing at 251	8 Bellmore Ave, Bellmore, N	Y 11710-0000.
		(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this cl	aim in his (her) capacity as of	f the Estate of
		, , ,	

Case 1:08-cv-00817-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 2 of 11
York residing at 2518 Bellmore Ave, Bellmore, NY the Injured Plaintiff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times ANIBAL BATISTA, and bring injuries sustained by her husball.	Iter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 11710-0000, and has the following relationship to therein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ags this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff ANIBAL BATISTA. Other:
4. In the period from 9/12/2001 to 9/22/2 Police Department (NYPD) as a Detective at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations
□ The World Trade Center Site  Location(s) ( <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)  From on or about until;  Approximately hours per day; for  Approximately days total.  □ The New York City Medical Examiner's Office  From on or about 9/12/2001 until 9/13/2001,  Approximately 12 hours per day; for  Approximately 1 days total.  □ The Fresh Kills Landfill  From on or about 9/12/2001 until To Be Supplied;  Approximately 12 hours per day; for  Approximately 12 hours per day; for  Approximately 12 hours per day; for  Approximately 10 days total.	□ The Barge  From on or about
"Other" locations, please annex a separate of the separate of	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.  noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
the site(s) indicated above;  Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injur	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	$\square$ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	$\square$ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC. ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ CKAIG TEST BOKING COMFANT INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☑EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:08-cv-00817-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):				
Tellio	removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION	
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
<b>✓</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation	
<b>✓</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>	
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined	
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death	
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff	

Other: \_

## Case 1:08-cv-00817-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: <u>N/A.</u>			Cardiovascular Injury: <u>N/A.</u>
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Respiratory Injury: Sinus and/or Nasal		<b>√</b>	Fear of Cancer
	Problems; Sinus Problems		_	Date of onset: 5/15/2006
	Date of onset: 5/15/2006			Date physician first connected this injury
	Date physician first connected this injury to			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			date
	W TO WORK 10 00 supplied at a later date			ditte
	Digestive Injury: N/A.		<b>√</b>	Other Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:		_	Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
L	NOTE TILL STATE I		1.	C
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Groui	2. As a direct and proximate result of nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	2. As a direct and proximate result of nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ====	2. As a direct and proximate result of nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== <b>☑</b>	2. As a direct and proximate result of nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  Pain and suffering	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  Pain and suffering  Loss of the enjoyment of life	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  Pain and suffering  Loss of the enjoyment of life  Loss of earnings and/or impairment of	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓ ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  Pain and suffering  Loss of the enjoyment of life  Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:  Pain and suffering  Loss of the enjoyment of life  Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity  Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of	the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 $\checkmark$ 

Other:

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 4, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Anibal Batista and Wanda Batista

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York

January 4, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket N	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
====	Anibal Batista (and Wife, Wanda Batista),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====:	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
====:	To Attorney(s) for
===:	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
F	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
Г	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP